

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspfo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,510	08/26/2002	Takaaki Toyooka	8030-1001	4061	
466	7590 03/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
YOUNG & THOMPSON			YEE, DEBORAH		
	23RD STREET 2ND FLOOI N, VA 22202	R	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1742		
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/049,510	TOYOOKA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Deborah Yee	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•			
1)□ 2a)□		— · s action is non-final.				
	, —		osecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.						
7)						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[-] The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)l,	XI All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/049,510

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okada et al (US Patent 5,374,322 submitted by applicant) or Japanese patent 6-179945.

Each reference teaches specific steel tubes for reinforcing an automobile door having a composition, and a yield ratio and tensile strength value which meet the recited claims. See Okada, steel A1, B2, A3,B3, A4,B4,A6,A8 and B8 in Tables 1 to 3 of columns 11 and 12; and JP'945, steel 4 to 7, 10 and 12 in Table 1 on pages 5 and 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 4, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al (US Patent 5,374,322 submitted by applicant) or Japanese patent 6-179945.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,510

Art Unit: 1742

Each reference teaches specific steel tubes as stated in 102 rejection which meet the claimed composition and tensile strength value. Although prior art does not teach that the martensite and/or bainite and ferrite (optional) is a transformation product obtained as a result of transformation of a deformed austenite as recited by claims 2 and 3, such would not be a patentable difference. Note that in a product-by-process claim, patentability is determined by the product per se and not the process limitations. The burden falls to the applicant to show that any process steps associated with the claimed product result in a materially different product from those of the prior art, because there is nothing in the record before the examiner to reasonably conclude that applicant's product differs in kind from those obtained by the references. See In re

Moreover, even though prior art does not teach ferrite at no more than 20% as recited by claim 4, such would not be a patentable difference because the lower limit of claimed ferrite is zero and therefore not required.

In regard to the method claims 7 and 8, Okada on lines 46 to 52 of column 8 discloses a method of manufacturing seamless steel pipe by heating and hot rolling with a finishing temperature of 800 to 1000C which overlaps with applicant's diameter-reducing rolling finishing temperature of no higher than 800C. To go lower than 800C, is taught by Okada if the presence of ferrite is desired. Although the reduction ratio of not less than 20% as recited by claims 7 and 8 is not taught by prior art, such would not be a patentable difference since it would be a matter of choice well within the skill of the artisan depending on the desired dimensions and properties sought. Moreover,

Application/Control Number: 10/049,510

Art Unit: 1742 -

applicant has not demonstrated (e.g. by comparative test data), that the reduction of not less than 20% is somehow critical and productive of new and unexpected results.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Newly amended claim 6 recites steel tube having at least one composition selected from group A, B and C but no composition is recited.

Claim 8 recites 2 sentences. Note that a claim can only be one sentence.

Correction required.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed February 13, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Note that Japanese patents cited in the International Search report are considered relevant; hence an English explanation of the relevance is important.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,510 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah. Yee whose telephone number is 703-308-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

dy

DEBORAH YEE PRIMARY EXAMINER